Report Documentation Page		*Form Approved* *OMB No. 0704-0188*

1. REPORT DATE **JUN 1993**	2. REPORT TYPE	3. DATES COVERED **00-00-1993 to 00-00-1993**
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE **Solving Interpolation Problems via Generalized Eigenvalue Minimization**		5a. CONTRACT NUMBER
		5b. GRANT NUMBER
		5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER
6. AUTHOR(S)		5d. PROJECT NUMBER
		5e. TASK NUMBER
		5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) **Stanford University,Department of Electrical Engineering,Stanford,CA,94305**		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)
		11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)

12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT
Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES
Proceedings of American Control Conference, pages 2647???2648, June 1993. U.S. Government or Federal Rights License.

14. ABSTRACT
A number of problems in the analysis and design of control systems may be reformulated as the problem of minimiring the largest generalized eigenvalue of a pair of symmetric matrices which depend affinely on the decision variables, subject to constraints that are linear matrix inequalities. For these generalized eigenvalue problems, there exist numerical algorithms that are guaranteed to be globally convergent, have polynomial worst-case complexity, and stopping criteria that guarantee desired accuracy. In this paper, we show how a number of important interpolsth prob lems in control may be solved via generalized eigenvalue minimization

15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT **unclassified**	b. ABSTRACT **unclassified**	c. THIS PAGE **unclassified**	**Same as Report (SAR)**	**2**	

SOLVING INTERPOLATION PROBLEMS VIA GENERALIZED EIGENVALUE MINIMIZATION

V. Balakrishnan, E. Feron, S. Boyd[1]
Department of Electrical Engineering
Stanford University
Stanford CA 94305 USA

L. El Ghaoui
Ecole Nationale Supérieure
de Techniques Avancées
32, Blvd. Victor, 75015 Paris, France

Abstract

A number of problems in the analysis and design of control systems may be reformulated as the problem of minimizing the largest generalized eigenvalue of a pair of symmetric matrices which depend affinely on the decision variables, subject to constraints that are linear matrix inequalities. For these generalized eigenvalue problems, there exist numerical algorithms that are guaranteed to be globally convergent, have polynomial worst-case complexity, and stopping criteria that guarantee desired accuracy. In this paper, we show how a number of important interpolation problems in control may be solved via generalized eigenvalue minimization.

1. Introduction

$\mathbf{R}$ denotes the set of real numbers. $\mathbf{C}$ denotes the set of complex numbers. $\mathbf{C}_+$ denotes the set of complex numbers with positive real part. For $c \in \mathbf{C}$, $\Re c$ is the real part of c. The set of $p \times q$ matrices with complex entries is denoted $\mathbf{C}^{p \times q}$. P^* stands for the complex conjugate transpose of P. I denotes the identity matrix, with size determined from context. $\|P\|$ denotes the spectral norm (maximum singular value) of $P \in \mathbf{C}^{p \times q}$, defined as the square-root of the maximum eigenvalue of P^*P. The matrix inequalities $A > B$ and $A \geq B$ mean A and B are square, Hermitian, and that $A - B$ is positive definite and positive semi-definite, respectively.

A linear matrix inequality or an LMI is a matrix inequality of the form $D(x) = D_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i D_i > 0$, where D_i are given real symmetric matrices, and the x_is are the decision variables. We will use the term EVP (GEVP) for the optimization problem of minimizing the largest eigenvalue (generalized eigenvalue) of a symmetric matrix (pair of symmetric matrices) that depends affinely on the decision variables, subject to linear matrix inequality constraints. EVPs (GEVPs) are convex (quasiconvex) nondifferentiable optimization problems; therefore there exist readily

---

derived necessary and sufficient optimality conditions and a well-developed duality theory. Moreover, the fundamental computational complexity of these problems is low, in particular, polynomial-time. Thus EVPs and GEVPs are *tractable*.

There exist a number of general algorithms such as the ellipsoid method that are guaranteed to work for EVPs and GEVPs. More recently, a number of very efficient interior point methods have been proposed for these problems. We refer the reader to [1, 2] for more details.

Several important problems in the analysis and design of control systems may be reformulated as EVPs and GEVPs (see [3] and the references therein). In this paper, we will show how a number of important interpolation problems in control are equivalent to generalized eigenvalue minimization over linear matrix inequalities.

2. Tangential Nevanlinna-Pick problem

Given $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m$ with $\lambda_i \in \mathbf{C}_+$, $u_1, \ldots, u_m$, with $u_i \in \mathbf{C}^q$ and $v_1, \ldots, v_m$, with $v_i \in \mathbf{C}^p$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$, and $M > 0$, the tangential Nevanlinna-Pick problem is to find, if possible, a function $H : \mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{C}^{p \times q}$ which is analytic in $\mathbf{C}_+$, and satisfies

$$H(\lambda_i)u_i = v_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m \text{ with } \|H\|_\infty \leq M.$$

($\|H\|_\infty$ denotes the $\mathbf{H}_\infty$-norm of H, which is defined as $\sup_{\omega \in \mathbf{R}} \|H(j\omega)\|$.) This problem, which arises in multi-input multi-output $\mathbf{H}_\infty$-control theory [4], has a solution if and only if there exist $G_{\text{in}} > 0$ and $G_{\text{out}} > 0$ such that the following equations and inequality hold.

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^*G_{\text{in}} + G_{\text{in}}A - U^*U &= 0, \\
A^*G_{\text{out}} + G_{\text{out}}A - V^*V &= 0, \\
M^2 G_{\text{in}} - G_{\text{out}} &\geq 0,
\end{aligned}
\tag{1}
$$

where $A = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m)$, $U = [u_1 \cdots u_m]$ and $V = [v_1 \cdots v_m]$. Finding the smallest M such that there exist $G_{\text{in}} > 0$ and $G_{\text{out}} > 0$ satisfying (1) is a GEVP with variables G_{in} and G_{out}. In fact, it is a simple generalized eigenvalue calculation.

3. Nevanlinna-Pick problem with scaling

Given $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m$ with $\lambda_i \in \mathbf{C}_+$, $u_1, \ldots, u_m$, with $u_i \in \mathbf{C}^p$ and $v_1, \ldots, v_m$, with $v_i \in \mathbf{C}^p$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$, the problem is to find

$$\gamma_{\text{opt}} = \inf \left\{ \|DHD^{-1}\|_\infty \left| \begin{array}{c} H \text{ analytic in } \mathbf{C}_+ \\ D = D^* > 0 \\ D \in \mathcal{D} \\ H(\lambda_i)u_i = v_i \\ i = 1, \ldots, m \end{array} \right. \right\},$$

where $\mathcal{D}$ is typically the set of diagonal or block-diagonal matrices.

This problem corresponds to finding the smallest scaled $\mathbf{H}_\infty$ norm of all interpolants. This problem arises in multi-input multi-output $\mathbf{H}_\infty$-control synthesis for systems with structured perturbations [5].

With a change of variables $P = D^*D$, γ_{opt} may be determined as the smallest positive M such that there exist $P > 0$, $P \in \mathcal{D}$, $G_{\text{in}} > 0$ and $G_{\text{out}} > 0$ such that the following equations and inequality hold.

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^*G_{\text{in}} + G_{\text{in}}A - U^*PU &= 0, \\
A^*G_{\text{out}} + G_{\text{out}}A - V^*PV &= 0, \\
M^2 G_{\text{in}} - G_{\text{out}} &\geq 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m)$, $U = [u_1 \cdots u_m]$ and $V = [v_1 \cdots v_m]$. This is a GEVP with variables P, G_{in} and G_{out}.

4. Frequency response identification

Consider the problem of identifying the transfer function H of a single-input single-output linear system from noisy measurements of its frequency response at a list of frequencies [6]: Given ω_i and $f_i = H(j\omega_i) + n_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$, where n_i are the (unknown) noise values, the problem is to identify $H(s)$.

H may be required to satisfy some constraints, which may arise from information about the system known *a priori*, or may reflect properties desired of the identified system, consistent with measurements, such as

1. H is analytic in $\Re s > -\alpha$ with $\alpha > 0$.

2. The α-shifted $\mathbf{H}_\infty$ norm of H, defined as $\|H\|_{\alpha,\infty} \triangleq \sup_{\Re s > -\alpha} \|H(s)\|$ does not exceed M.

3. The noise values satisfy $\|n\| \leq \epsilon$.
   (Or $\|n\|_\infty \triangleq \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} |n_i| \leq \epsilon$.)

From Nevanlinna-Pick theory, there exist H and n with $H(\omega_i) = f_i + n_i$ satisfying conditions (1–3) if and only if there exist n satisfying (3), $G_{\text{in}} > 0$ and $G_{\text{out}} > 0$ such that

$$(A + \alpha I)^* G_{\text{in}} + G_{\text{in}}(A + \alpha I) - e^*e = 0,$$

$$(A + \alpha I)^* G_{\text{out}} + G_{\text{out}}(A + \alpha I) - (f+n)^*(f+n) = 0,$$

$$M^2 G_{\text{in}} - G_{\text{out}} \geq 0,$$

where $A = \text{diag}(j\omega_1, \ldots, j\omega_m)$, $e = [1 \cdots 1]$, $f = [f_1 \cdots f_m]$ and $n = [n_1 \cdots n_m]$. It can be shown that these conditions are equivalent to

$$M^2 G_{\text{in}} - G_{\text{out}} \geq 0$$

$$(A + \alpha I)^* G_{\text{in}} + G_{\text{in}}(A + \alpha I) - e^*e \leq 0$$

$$(A + \alpha I)^* G_{\text{out}} + G_{\text{out}}(A + \alpha I) - (f+n)^*(f+n) \geq 0$$

with $n^*n \leq \epsilon^2$ (or $|n_i| \leq \epsilon$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$).

With this observation, we may answer a number of interesting questions in frequency response identification by solving EVPs and GEVPs.

For fixed α and ϵ, minimize M. Solving this GEVP answers the question "Given α and a bound on the noise values, what is the smallest possible α-shifted $\mathbf{H}_\infty$ norm of the system consistent with the measurements of the frequency response?"

For fixed α and M, minimize ϵ. Solving this EVP answers the question "Given α and a bound on α-shifted $\mathbf{H}_\infty$ norm of the system, what is the "smallest" possible noise consistent with the measurements of the frequency response?"

References

[1] Yu. Nesterov and A. Nemirovsky. *Interior point polynomial methods in convex programming: Theory and applications.* SIAM, 1993.

[2] S. Boyd and L. El Ghaoui. Method of centers for minimizing generalized eigenvalues. *Linear Algebra and Applications, special issue on Numerical Linear Algebra Methods in Control, Signals and Systems*, 188, July 1993.

[3] S. Boyd, L. El Ghaoui, E. Feron, and V. Balakrishnan. Linear matrix inequalities in systems and control theory, 1993. Monograph in preparation.

[4] H. Kimura. Directional interpolation approach to H^∞-optimization and robust stabilization. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 32(12):1085–1093, December 1987.

[5] J. Doyle. Analysis of feedback systems with structured uncertainties. *IEE Proc.*, 129-D(6):242–250, November 1982.

[6] J. Chen, C. N. Nett, and M. K. H. Fan. Worst-case identification in $\mathbf{H}_\infty$: validation of apriori information, essentially optimal algorithms, and error bounds. In *Proc. American Control Conf.*, pages 251–257, Chicago, June 1992.